arXiv:1609.03328v1 [math.NA] 12 Sep 2016

How to get high order without loosing efficiency for the resolution of systems of nonlinear equations: A short review of Shamanskii's m method

F. Calabrò *& A. Polsinelli†

September 13, 2016

Abstract

We present relations between some recently proposed methods for the solution of a nonlinear system of equations. In particular, we review the Shamanskii's m-method [1], that is an iterative method derived from Newton's method that converge with order $m + 1$. We discuss efficient implementation of this method via matrix factorization and some relevant properties.
We believe that recent developments in the research of solutions of systems of equations did not take sufficiently into account this method. The hope, with this paper, is to encourage the entire community to remember this simple method and use it for comparison when new methods are introduced.
This work is dedicated to Prof. Elvira Russo: a very special teacher.

Keyword: Systems of nonlinear equations; Modified Newton method; Order of convergence; Higher order methods; Computational efficiency

AMS Subject Classification: 65H10, 41A25, 65Y04

1 Introduction

The design of iterative algorithms for solving systems of nonlinear equations is a numerical analysis topic that has never known years with little interest. A result is that a researcher approaching such field and trying to get a

*DIEI, Università degli Studi di Cassino e del Lazio Meridionale, Cassino (FR), Italy. E-mail: calabro@unicas.it
†a_polsi@hotmail.it

complete review of the main developments has the feeling that this is close to impossible. When interested in a method for the search of a solution of system of nonlinear equations, one has to fix clearly the hypotheses that are known in the specific problem and work with these as guidelines. Our study has been motivated by the construction of quadrature rules with ad-hoc exactness, as considered in [2, 3] to be used for some new applications related to isogeometric analysis, see [4, 5, 6, 7]. In our application the problem is squared and exact Jacobian is available; moreover the problem is of medium size (not more than 50 equations) and a good starting point is computable. These are the main features that we consider, for details we refer to the cited papers.

In the original papers [8, 3], a Newton procedure is used for the resolution of the nonlinear equations that fix the quadrature rule, but in many cases the procedure fails to converge, see [8, 5]. While searching for a good strategy to solve our problem, we have encountered many methods that modify Newton's iteration and converge with higher order, see[1] e.g. [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. All these methods are referred to as Modified-Newton: their main feature is that the Jacobian can be evaluated so that there is no need for an approximation as for Quasi-Newton methods. When counting FLOPs, the most expensive part of Modified-Newton methods is where the inversion of the Jacobian is performed: in all the cited papers the number of matrix inversion is raised, so that efficiency is reduced. All modifications of Newton's method consider more inversion of the Jacobian matrix. Nevertheless, many of these methods have remarkable interesting features, see for example the one derived by quadrature rules applied to the integral form of the reminder term in Taylor's formula in [14, 15, 16].

We focus in this paper on the family of Shamanskii's m methods. The interesting feature of these methods is that at each iteration only one matrix inversion is required, while theoretical convergence rate can be raised thanks to internal iterations of lower computational cost.

Moerover, with a good choice of the paramenter m the convergence domain [17] of Shamanskii's m method seems to be greater of the one of Newton's method.

While previously considered a standard procedure (see [18] and the book [19]) recently Shamanskii's methods seem somehow not prefered, with some noticeable[2] exception [20].

[1] The list is far from complete: also many methods have been introduced for the resolution of the univariate problems but can be easily extended to the multivariate case.

[2] We notice here that the third order method in [9] is exactly Shamanskii's method with $m = 2$.

In this paper we test Shamanskii's method on some examples taken from [21, 18]. In all test with non-singular Jacobian the method converges in few iterations. The tests confirm -at least up to order 4- that the order is increased when internal iterations are raised. Moreover, because of the limited number of inversion of matrices considered, we notice that the method is efficient.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review Shamanskii's m methods, give a pseudo-code and some details on the convergence properties; in Section 3 we perform some tests and give some conclusions.

2 Shamanskii's m methods

Input: Starting point x_0, function F, Jacobian J
Inizializations: $x^{(0)} = \tilde{x}^{(0)} = x_0$, $it_{tot} = it_{inv} = 0$, $rhs = F(\tilde{x}^{(0)})$, $res^{(0)} = norm(rhs)$.;
while *Convergence* **do**
  $[L, U] = lu(J(x^{(it_{inv})}))$, $it_{inv} = it_{inv} + 1$;
  for $k = 1 : m$ **do**
    $\tilde{x}^{(it_{tot}+1)} = \tilde{x}^{(it_{tot})} - U\backslash(L\backslash rhs)$;
    $rhs = F(\tilde{x}^{(it_{tot}+1)})$, $it_{tot} = it_{tot} + 1$
  end
  $x^{(it_{inv})} = \tilde{x}^{(it_{tot})}$, $res^{(it_{inv})} = norm(rhs)$
end

Algorithm 1: Shamanskii's m-method

Shamanskii's m methods are designed for the resolution of a system of nonlinear equations $F(x) = 0$ where $F : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \to F(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a functional and $J : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \to J(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is it's Jacobian, namely $J_{ij} := \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$. The method computes a sequence of points $x^{(it)}$ by applying a step of Newton's method and $m-1$ internal iterations with frozen Jacobian, namely chord's iterations. We summarize in Algorithm 1 the flow-chart, notice that one matrix inversion is needed at each internals iteration so that factorization is helpful in this case. In the next theorem we summarize the properties of convergence, see for example [19, Section 2.4]. For similar results with inexact Jacobian -in the case of Quasi-Newton's method- we refer to [18].

Theorem 2.1 *Let F be differentiable and call J it's Jacobian. Suppose that:*

- $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *exists such that* $F(x^*) = 0$*;*
- $\|J(x) - J(y)\| \leq \gamma \|x - y\|$ *for some* γ*;*
- $J(x^*)$ *is positive definite.*

Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *there are* $K > 0$ *and* $\delta > 0$ *such that if* $\|x_0 - x^*\| \leq \delta$ *the Shamanskii's iterates* $x^{(j)}$ *converge to* x^* *with order* $m + 1$*:*

$$\|x^{(j+1)} - x^*\| \leq K \|x^{(j)} - x^*\|^{m+1}. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 2.1 ensures that within the family of Shamanskii's method it is possible to achieve a desired convergence order by simply increasing m, the number of internal iterations. Obviously the limit case ($m \to \infty$) would give an "infinite order" method while it simply coincides with the chord method of order 1. This ambiguity is due to the conventional assumption that counts as iteration only the iterations embedding a matrix inversion. In next section we report the results of our testing accounting both for outer and internal iterations, since most of the computational costs are incurred within the outer ones, mainly for large problems (i.e. $n >> m$). The choice of the parameter m to be used[3] strongly depends on the problem, and in authors' opinion some effort should be spent for an implementation that adaptively interrupts the internal iterations.

3 Numerical results

To test order of convergence of our procedure, we use the usual estimate of convergence order ([21, 18]) :

$$\rho = \frac{\log\left(\frac{\|x^{(j)} - x^{(j-1)}\|}{\|x^{(j-1)} - x^{(j-2)}\|}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\|x^{(j-1)} - x^{(j-2)}\|}{\|x^{(j-2)} - x^{(j-3)}\|}\right)} \tag{2}$$

In table 1 we report the computed ρ and the number of iteration made to meet the tolerance on the residual, fixed to $10 * eps$. We have considered

[3]Some discussion on this is available in [18] in the case of Quasi-Newton implementation.

	$m=1$	2	3	4
(a)	5 (5) 2.0044	3 (6) 2.9649	3 (9) 3.9146	2(8) NA
(b)	6 (6) 1.9946	4 (8) 2.9593	3 (9) 3.3058	3(12) 4.2046
(c)	5 (5) 1.9127	3 (6) 2.7689	3 (9) 3.7390	3(12) 4.7116
(d)	6 (6) 1.9968	4 (8) 2.9594	3 (9) 3.3493	3(12) 4.2464
(e)	7 (7) 2.0002	5 (10) 2.9754	5 (15) 3.7121	6(24) 4.6198

Table 1: Convergence test. For each test problem in (3) we report the number of iterations it_{inv} needed by Shamanskii's m method for convergence (in parentheses the total number of iterations it_{tot}) and the computed order of convergence ρ that follows from equation (2).

the following functionals and starting points taken from [21, 18]:

	$F(x)$	x_0
(a)	$[x_1^2 - 4x_2 + x_2^2; 2x_1 - x_2^2 - 2]$	$[1; 0.1]$
(b)	$[x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1; x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 0.5]$	$[1; 1]$
(c)	$[\cos(x_2) - \cos(x_1); x_3^{x_1} - 1/x_2; exp(x_1) - x_3^2]$	$[1; 1; 2]$
(d)	$[x_i x_{i+1} - 1_{i=1,\ldots 30}; x_{31} x_1 - 1]$	$-2ones(31, 1)$
(e)	$[x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2; exp(x_1 - 1) + x_2^2 - 2]$	$[2; 0.5]$

(3)

The convergence test confirm that the Shamanskii's m method increases the order of convergence when increasing m; correspondingly the number of iterations with matrix inversion are lowed and the overall computational efficiency is raised. Our conclusion is that Shamanskii's method is both efficient and robust, so that it is still the Modified-Newton method that should be used -at least as a comparison- when higher order is required.

Acknowledgements

Francesco Calabrò was partially supported by INdAM, through GNCS research projects. This support is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] V. Shamanskii, A modification of newton's method, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal 19 (1) (1967) 118–122.

[2] J. Bremer, Z. Gimbutas, V. Rokhlin, A nonlinear optimization procedure for generalized gaussian quadratures, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 32 (4) (2010) 1761–1788.

[3] J. Ma, V. Rokhlin, S. Wandzura, Generalized gaussian quadrature rules for systems of arbitrary functions, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 33 (3) (1996) 971–996.

[4] R. Himiestra, F. Calabrò, D. Schillinger, T. Hughes, Optimal and reduced quadrature rules for tensor product and hierarchically refined splines in isogeometric analysis, ICES Report 16-11Submitted to Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering.

[5] K. Johannessen, Optimal quadrature for univariate and tensor product splines, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and EngineeringSubmitted.

[6] C. Manni, F. Pelosi, M. L. Sampoli, Generalized b-splines as a tool in isogeometric analysis, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 200 (5) (2011) 867–881.

[7] A. Aimi, M. Diligenti, M. Sampoli, A. Sestini, Isogemetric analysis and symmetric galerkin bem: A 2d numerical study, Applied Mathematics and Computation 272 (2016) 173–186.

[8] T. Hughes, A. Reali, G. Sangalli, Efficient quadrature for NURBS-based isogeometric analysis, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 199 (5) (2010) 301–313.

[9] M. Darvishi, A. Barati, A third-order newton-type method to solve systems of nonlinear equations, Applied Mathematics and Computation 187 (2) (2007) 630–635.

[10] M. Frontini, E. Sormani, Some variant of newtons method with third-order convergence, Applied Mathematics and Computation 140 (2) (2003) 419–426.

[11] J. Kou, Y. Li, X. Wang, A modification of newton method with third-order convergence, Applied Mathematics and Computation 181 (2) (2006) 1106–1111.

[12] T. J. McDougall, S. J. Wotherspoon, A simple modification of newtons method to achieve convergence of order $1 + \sqrt{2}$, Applied Mathematics Letters 29 (2014) 20–25.

[13] H. Homeier, A modified newton method with cubic convergence: the multivariate case, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 169 (1) (2004) 161–169.

[14] S. Weerakoon, T. Fernando, A variant of newton's method with accelerated third-order convergence, Applied Mathematics Letters 13 (8) (2000) 87–93.

[15] M. Frontini, E. Sormani, Third-order methods from quadrature formulae for solving systems of nonlinear equations, Applied Mathematics and Computation 149 (3) (2004) 771–782.

[16] M. M. Graça, P. M. Lima, Root finding by high order iterative methods based on quadratures, Applied Mathematics and Computation 264 (2015) 466–482.

[17] J. Ezquerro, D. González, M. Hernández, On the local convergence of newtons method under generalized conditions of kantorovich, Applied Mathematics Letters 26 (5) (2013) 566–570.

[18] R. P. Brent, Some efficient algorithms for solving systems of nonlinear equations, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 10 (2) (1973) 327–344.

[19] C. T. Kelley, Solving nonlinear equations with Newton's method, Vol. 1, Siam, 2003.

[20] B. Kchouk, J.-P. Dussault, A new family of high-order directions for unconstrained optimization inspired by chebyshev and shamanskii methods, Optimization Methods and Software 29 (1) (2014) 68–87.

[21] A. Cordero, J. L. Hueso, E. Martínez, J. R. Torregrosa, Increasing the convergence order of an iterative method for nonlinear systems, Applied Mathematics Letters 25 (12) (2012) 2369–2374.